UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

			*	ZUUB AUG -	7 PM 2: 14	
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Paragripher District COURT Paragripher DISTRICT COURT Paragripher DISTRICT COURT						
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/29/2008						
CASE NO.: 08cv0965 BEN (LSP) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner DEPUTY						
CASE TITLE: Mabry v. Scribner						
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for IFP						
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:						
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy				
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and	or support	ting documentatio	n	
$\overline{\Box}$	5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper					
$\bar{\Box}$	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued					
$\overline{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely					
\overline{n}	7.1 or 47.1					
$\overline{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions					
$\overline{\Box}$	7.1 Missing table of contents					
$\overline{\Box}$	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself				
$\overline{\Box}$	30.1					
$\overline{\Box}$		Supplemental documents require court order				
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest					
\bar{X}	OTHER: Filing fee already paid.					
Λ		Samuel Sa				
			D-	.4. 6	7/20/2009	
Date forwarded: <u>7/30/2008</u> ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE						
X	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. Froceed IFF					
٢	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.					
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers					
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with		Rules may lead to	penalties pursuant	
	Dated:	CHAMBERS By:	OF: L			
	cc: All Parties		1 10			